CLCON 80 of 2002

**Hon'ble Irshad Hussain, J.**

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for the respondents.

According to the petitioners the compliance of the order passed on 18-9-2001 has not been made by the respondents. By the said order the respondents were directed to pay minimum of pay scale to the petitioners of class IV post if they were regularly working since last more than 5 years. In the counter affidavit the claim has been denied meaning thereby they were not working for such a long time as alleged. The respondents have also moved application in the main petition for vacation of interim stay order. The controversy had to be decided in the main petition on merit after considering the respective cases of the parties. At this stage the stand taken by the respondents indicate that there is no wilful disobedience of the order of the Court and therefore the contempt petition is liable to be dismissed. Order accordingly. Notice discharged.

**(Irshad Hussain, J.)**

Dt. 26-8-2003
ISB